

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/626,476 07/23/2003		Paul W. Skinner	021028-000120US	1328	
37490	7590 01/27/2005		EXAMINER		
CARPENTER & KULAS, LLP			NGUYEN, DINH Q		
1900 EMBAF	RCADERO ROAD				
SUITE 109 PALO ALTO, CA 94303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3752		

DATE MAILED: 01/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		10/626,476	5	SKINNER, PAUL W.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Dinh Q. Ng	*	3752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no ever In a reply within the statut In a right will apply and will Itatute, cause the applic	it, however, may a reply be timory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	ely filed will be considered time the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 🂢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	3 July 2003.						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) \boxtimes This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 Claim(s) 35-57 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 35-57 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicati	on Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) the drawing(s) be rrection is require	held in abeyance. Seed if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	• •			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) [a) l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bursee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been nents have been priority documer reau (PCT Rule	received. received in Applications have been received 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National	Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notic 2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/03 & 5/04</u> .	3/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/626,476

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 42, 48, 50 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 42 and 48 recite the same limitation "a temperature sensor". Claims 50 and 53 recite the same limitation " a sugar accumulation sensor".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 35-40, 42, 48, 49, 51, 54-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hall, III.

Hall, III discloses a vegetation dispensing device comprising: a conduit 190 with a channel 195 (see figure 14), an outlet 232 for conveying a substance 206a-c, a sensor 200 couple to the conduit 231 for sensing a growth condition, a flow control 205 for regulating an amount of substance, a microprocessor control system 10, the plurality of sensors such as soil moisture, ground temperature, ion concentration (as disclosed in column 15, lines 34-60).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/626,476

Art Unit: 3752

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 41, 43-47, 50, 52, and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hall, III.

Hall, III does not disclose expressly the sensors such as leaf wetness sensor, insect sensor, DNA sensor, sugar accumulation sensor, pheromone sensor or protein sensor etc. At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide the Hall, III device with the above sensors, because Applicant has not disclosed that the above sensors provides an advantage, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with any of the above sensors because they provide a way to monitor a condition of vegetation. Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the device of Hall, III to obtain the invention as specified in claims 41, 43-47, 50, 52, and 53. Furthermore, it is obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the Hall, III device with any type of sensors (see column 15, lines 43-45).

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following patents are cited to show the art with respect to a material dispensing system to vegetation: Goldstein, and Lips.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dinh Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4907. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Scherbel can be reached on 571-272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dinh Q Nguyen Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3752

dqn